

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/601,410	06/23/2003	Joseph P. Desmond	BSCU-039/00US 027060-2227	7691
22903 11,090,000 COOLEY GODWARD KRONISH LLP ATTN: PATENT GROUP Suite 1100 777 - 6th Street, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20001			EXAMINER	
			SZPIRA, JULIE ANN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3731	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/30/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/601,410	DESMOND, JOSEPH P.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	JULIE A. SZPIRA	3731	

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears of	n the cover sheet with the correspondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 18 August 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.					
	s: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the ith appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date	of the final rejection.				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisor no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the					
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	NLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TW				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on whave been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortes set forth in (a) above, if checket. Any reply re-ceived by the Office later than imay reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	n and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee ned statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance	thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since				
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but pr (a) They raise new issues that would require further conside (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);					
	rm for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corres	sponding number of finally rejected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. Se	se attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOI -324)				
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):					
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable non-allowable claim(s).	ile if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the				
7. ☐ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will be entered and an explar how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: 1-10, 17-23, 27-29, and 32-47.					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and suff was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	re or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered ident reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a No	ome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER					
The request for reconsideration has been considered but doe See Continuation Sheet.	s NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO	/SB/08) Paper No(s)				
13. Other:					
/Anhtuan T. Nguyen/	/Julie A Szpira/				
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3731	Examiner, Art Unit 3731				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The arguments in response to the Final Office Action are not persuasive.

The first hollow member (115) is inserted into the second hollow member (112) and then is locked with the wedge (132). The first hollow member is not integrally formed with the second hollow member and thus they can be moveably coupled to one another prior to the first and second member being locked with the wedge. Furthermore, the term "movably coupled" doesn't full define what the present invention means to claim. "Coupled" implies that the two members are joined together, and "moveably" implies that they can move. Without a limitation to define that the two members are movable relative to one another in their coupling, simply having the two members coupled, and then have them capable of moving, the prior att meets the present claim limitations.

Furthermore, the wedge in a first configuration does not contact the first or second member, and then when placed in the second configuration the first member is bocked in relation to the second member. Due to the material comprising the first member, the first member has a degree of flexibly and therefore deformation. When the wedge is in the first configuration, the first member is not deformed, however when the wedge is placed in its second, locked, configuration, it contacts the first hollow member and causes a slight deformation to allow a secure fit between the first hollow member and the second hollow member. That deformation moves the first hollow member in relation to the second hollow member, and therefore, the first and second hollow member are moveably coupled to one another.

In regards to the length of the device, the deformation previously described will alter the length of the device and having a marker to visualize that the change in length of the first hollow member would be obvious to allow one to visualize that the wedge locking member has correctly seated within the device to lock the first and second hollow members.